United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,213	04/22/2005	Mark T Fahey	26735u	6750	
20529 75	590 08/04/2006		EXAM	INER	
NATH & ASSOCIATES			LEE, JINHEE J		
112 South West	t Street				
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2831	2831	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Court	10/532,213	FAHEY, MARK T				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jinhee J. Lee	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
•	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		_				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) • 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) • 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0405</u> .						

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

Item 3.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this

Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after
the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit
the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,213 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

Abstract needs to be on a separate sheet.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 7, 9 and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 7 line 2, the phrase "further character" has an error. Examiner suggests "characterized" instead to correct the error.

Claim 7 line 4, the phrase "from been tethered" has an error. Examiner suggests "locking projections" instead to correct.

Claim 9 line 6, the phrase "adapted to the fixed into" has an error. Examiner suggests "adapted to be fixed into" instead to correct.

Claim 16 line 8, the phrase "switchboard" has an error. Examiner suggests, "switch board" instead to correct and conform to other instances.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

For example: A mains, characterized, is applicable is, a beginning end, method or wiring, etc.

7. Claims 1-2, 5-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "at least the cores" in line 5. This is confusing. Examiner suggests, "the cores" instead to clarify.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "from been tethered" in line 4. This is confusing. Clarify.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "these cables" in line 3. This is confusing. Clarify.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "to two" in line 4. This is confusing. Clarify, two what? The drawings from the applicant show two cables coming from the loom of cables, not from each cable. Clarify.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "a cable" in line 3. This is confusing. Clarify. Is this cable same or different from cable of claim 1?

Claim 9 recites the limitation "a socket" in line 4. This is confusing. Clarify. Is this socket same or different from socket of claim 1?

Regarding claim 11, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 15 recites the limitation "a beginning end" in line 4. This is confusing. Clarify.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "method or wiring" in line 1. This is confusing. Clarify.

Art Unit: 2831

Claim 16 recites the limitation "said first end" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Page 5

Claim 18 recites the limitation "there is further inserted" in line 3. This is confusing. Clarify. What is further inserted?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-8, 11-16, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hawker et al. (6486407).

Re claim 1, Hawker et al. discloses a wiring assembly comprised of a loom (with 40 for example) with at least one cable having at least two separately insulated electrically conducting cores where at least the cores are held together (via 30 for example) at least at a beginning portion of the loom, and the cable or cables is or are each terminated with each core being electrically connected to an appropriate connection within a female socket (49 for example) and where there are a plurality of such female outlet sockets for convenient connection thereto by for each switched outlet or appliance a male plug (see column 3 lines 40-42 according to the numbering in the middle) to engage with a respective one of the sockets (see figures 1 and 2). Regarding the limitation "which are arranged to be located each at spaced apart locations through a building", note that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in

Art Unit: 2831

which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Re claim 2, Hawker et al. discloses a wiring assembly where there are at least one male connector (see column 3 lines 40-42 for example) adapted to electrically interconnect with a one of the female connectors and where there is a further cable connecting this male connector to a unit at its end (see figures 1 and 2). Note, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 3, Hawker et al. discloses a wiring assembly which is comprised of at least two cables (40 for example) each having at least two separately insulated electrically conducting cores where the said at least two cables are joined together at least at a beginning part of the looms, and each of the cables is terminated with a socket (see figures 1 and 2).

Re claim 4, Hawker et al. discloses a wiring assembly further characterized in that there are more than two cables held together at the least at a beginning of the loom (see figures 1 and 2).

Re claim 5, Hawker et al. discloses a wiring assembly further characterized in that the loom at its beginning has ends which are either bared or adapted to be bared so as to be able to be connected into a traditional connector block or other electrical connection (see column 3 lines 17-19 and line 31, connected by wire wrapping or

Art Unit: 2831

soldering which requires the ends to be bare). Note, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 6, Hawker et al. discloses a wiring assembly further characterized in that at least one of the cables is a three core cable and it has at least one three-pin sockets connected at its end (see figures 1 and 2).

Re claim 7, Hawker et al. discloses a wiring assembly further character in that each of the cables at its end has a length of cable which is free from been tethered to the remaining loom of cables (see figures 1 and 2).

Re claim 8 (as best understood), Hawker et al. discloses a wiring assembly further characterized in that each of these cables may in turn give rise to two at a plurality of branches stemming therefrom (see figures 1 and 2).

Re claim 11 (as best understood), Hawker et al. discloses a method of wiring where at a beginning of the loom incorporated in the assembly, at least two of the cores are connected to an electrical junction connector such as those provided by an electrical power supplier authority either by way of a metre box or otherwise (note 112 rejection above), and then locating the loom so that at least some of the sockets are at spaced apart localities for supply of electrical power through each said one of an outlet female socket. Regarding the limitation of "then locating the sockets in distributed fashion through the building", note that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate

Art Unit: 2831

the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Re claim 12, Hawker et al. discloses a wiring assembly as set forth in claim 1 above. Regarding the limitation "a building ... where this is directed to a mains electrical power wiring assembly", note that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Re claim 13, Hawker et al. discloses a device further characterised in that each female socket is connected electrically so that each electrical pin is connected to a common core in a main backbone cable (see figures 1 and 2). Regarding the limitation "a building", note that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Re claim 14, Hawker et al. discloses a power supply for which the assembly is applicable is an electrical power supplying power within the range of approximately 50 Hertz to 60 Hertz frequency and a voltage which will be approximately within a range of from 110 volts to 450 volts (range includes well known capability of cables).

Re claim 15, Hawker et al. discloses a device where there is an integration of a common trunk cable system where there is a cable or cables at a beginning end which is or are held together either by being held by an insulating sleeve or by being tied

together by one or more cable ties (see figures 1 and 2). Regarding the limitation "directed to a building", note that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Re claim 16, Hawker et al. discloses a method or wiring including the steps of having a pre-made up loom which has at one end electrically connecting ends adapted to be secured to the electrical power connections of the switchboard (bus system 30 for example), a common trunk acting as a backbone extending along a length of the loom and having at each of spaced apart locations from the said first end, a female socket having electrical connections completed through a cable of the loom to interconnect electrically the respective receiving pins of the socket to the electrical supply of the switch board (see figures 1 and 2). Note, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 18, Hawker et al. discloses a method further characterised in that there is further inserted so as to make electrical contact with at least one of the female sockets a male plug which has a completed electrical cable which has at a further end a completed electrically connected unit (see column 3 lines 40-42 for example).

Application/Control Number: 10/532,213 Page 10

Art Unit: 2831

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawker et al. in view of Milan (5292257).

Re claim 9, Hawker et al. substantially discloses an assembly as set forth in claim 1 above. Hawker et al. does not explicitly disclose at least one connector which comprises a cable having at one end a plug and at a further end a socket of a type adapted to the fixed into position as an accessible socket for a user of the building. However, Milan teaches of an assembly with at least one connector which comprises a cable having at one end a plug and at a further end a socket of a type adapted to the fixed into position as an accessible socket for a user of the building (see figures 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the at least one connector which comprises a cable having at one end a plug and at a further end a socket of a type adapted to the fixed into position as an accessible socket for a user of the building of Milan on the assembly of Hawker et al. in order to provide further electrical power connection. Note, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 10, note that Milan teaches of a wiring assembly further characterized in that such a connector with its socket and its end also includes with the socket, a switch (30 for example) to effect an opening or closing of connection of the cable to pins of the socket (see figure 1).

12. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawker et al.

Re claim 17, the device of Hawker et al. discloses the claimed invention except that the spaced apart locations are spaced apart an equal distance one with respect to the immediately adjacent socket. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have locations spaced apart an equal distance one with respect to the immediately adjacent socket in order to provide same length of cable, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the dimensions or proportion of a component. A change in dimensions or proportion is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F .2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

13. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawker et al. in view of Adinamis et a. (5292257).

Re claim 19, Hawker et al. substantially discloses an assembly as set forth in claim 18 above. Hawker et al. does not explicitly disclose that the unit is a further female socket and switch adapted to be securely mounted in or on a part of the building. However, Adinamis et al. teaches of an assembly wherein the unit is a further female socket and switch adapted to be securely mounted in or on a part of the building (see

figures 1 and 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the unit that is a further female socket and switch adapted to be securely mounted in or on a part of the building of Adinamis et al. on the assembly of Hawker et al. in order to provide further electrical power connection to an output. Note, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 20, note that Adinamis teaches of a method further characterised in that the unit is a lighting fixture (see figures 1 and 3 for example).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2831

Page 13

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinhée J Lee Patent Examiner Art Unit 2831